76					
	CE		ELLIGENCE AG	GENCY	
				2	4 May 1976
MEMORANDUM	FOR:	The Director	r of Central	Intelligence	
FROM	: 1	William W. W			
SUBJECT	: 1	MILITARY THO	OUGHT (USSR): Modern Defe	Some nse	
of modern d preparation considers t preventing offensive. arguments p	defense is Taking the main g an enemy The disp	to disrupt s to disrupt s issue with soal of a de incursion a sosition of	article properties article which an enemy off that assumpt fensive operating a defense is ersus position (65) for 196	th stated that fensive which tion, the autration that of a transition discussed br	t a goal is in hor n to the
need-to-know	W hasis w	ithin modition	this report i be handled o ient agencies blication hav	n a strict	50X1-HUM 50X1-HUM of

Page 1 of 7 Pages

TOP SECRET

	TOP SECRET		50х1-н
			; ;
Distribution:		•	

The Director of Central Intelligence

The Joint Chiefs of Staff

The Director, Defense Intelligence Agency

The Assistant to the Chief of Staff for Intelligence Department of the Army

Director, National Security Agency

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

Deputy Director for Intelligence

Deputy Director for Science and Technology

Deputy to the Director of Central Intelligence for National Intelligence Officers

Director of Strategic Research

50X1-HUM

Page 2 of 7 Pages

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET	
The state of the s	
Intelligence Informat	tion Special Report
antenigence informati	non opecial report
	Page 3 of 7 Pages
INTRY USSR	
E OF D. Mid-1962	DATE 24 May 1976
SUBJECT	
i)
MILITARY THOUGHT (USER). Some Overti	
MILITARY THOUGHT (USSR): Some Question	ons of Modern Defense
MILITARY THOUGHT (USSR): Some Question	ons of Modern Defense
MILITARY THOUGHT (USSR): Some Question	ons of Modern Defense
	ons of Modern Defense
RCE Documentary	ons of Modern Defense
RCE Documentary Summary:	
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4	ation from Russian of an
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET
RCE Documentary Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought" The article was a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought"	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of
RCE Documentary Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The article preserved to the Journal This articl	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presented that a goal of modern defense in	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which
Summary: The following report is a translated article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presented that a goal of modern defense in offensive which is in preparation. The confidence of the confi	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy
Summary: The following report is a translated article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presecomments on a previous article by Genestated that a goal of modern defense in offensive which is in preparation. Tale assumption, the author considers the meaning the state of the sta	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that
Summary: The following report is a translated article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The answer of Colonel A. Oleynik. This article presecomments on a previous article by Generated that a goal of modern defense is offensive which is in preparation. The assumption, the author considers the momentary of the dispersion of the offensive. The dispersion to the offensive. The dispersion is a translation to the offensive.	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that main goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a position of a defense.
RCE Documentary Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presented that a goal of modern defense it offensive which is in preparation. The assumption, the author considers the moderation that of preventing an enemy transition to the offensive. The disput discussed briefly and arguments presented.	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that main goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a position of a defense.
Summary: The following report is a translated article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presecomments on a previous article by Genestated that a goal of modern defense if offensive which is in preparation. Talessumption, the author considers the modernation that of preventing an enemy	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that main goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a position of a defense is ated on mobile versus End of Summary
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article pressuments on a previous article by Genestated that a goal of modern defense it offensive which is in preparation. The assumption, the author considers the moperation that of preventing an enemy transition to the offensive. The disput discussed briefly and arguments present positional defense.	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that main goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a position of a defense.
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presecomments on a previous article by Genestated that a goal of modern defense it offensive which is in preparation. Ta assumption, the author considers the moperation that of preventing an enemy transition to the offensive. The disp discussed briefly and arguments present positional defense. Comment:	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that main goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a position of a defense is ated on mobile versus End of Summary 5
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article pressuments on a previous article by Genestated that a goal of modern defense if offensive which is in preparation. The assumption, the author considers the moperation that of preventing an enemy transition to the offensive. The disput discussed briefly and arguments present positional defense. Comment: After 1962 the SECRET version of published three times annually and was	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that main goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a position of a defense is ated on mobile versus End of Summary Military Thought was
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presented that a goal of modern defense it offensive which is in preparation. The accommentation, the author considers the moderation that of preventing an enemy transition to the offensive. The disput discussed briefly and arguments presented positional defense. Comment: After 1962 the SECRET version of published three times annually and was level of division commander. It reports	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which is to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that main goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a position of a defense is ated on mobile versus End of Summary Military Thought was
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presecomments on a previous article by Genestated that a goal of modern defense is offensive which is in preparation. Ta assumption, the author considers the moperation that of preventing an enemy transition to the offensive. The disp discussed briefly and arguments present positional defense. Comment: After 1962 the SECRET version of	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which as to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that main goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a position of a defense is ated on mobile versus End of Summary Military Thought was distributed down to the stedly ceased publication at
Summary: The following report is a translate article which appeared in Issue No. 4 USSR Ministry of Defense publication of the Journal "Military Thought". The accomments on a previous article presented that a goal of modern defense it offensive which is in preparation. The accommentation, the author considers the moderation that of preventing an enemy transition to the offensive. The disput discussed briefly and arguments presented positional defense. Comment: After 1962 the SECRET version of published three times annually and was level of division commander. It reports	ation from Russian of an (65) for 1962 of the SECRET Collection of Articles of author of this article is sents brief, critical eral-Mayor V. Petrenko which as to disrupt an enemy aking issue with that hain goal of a defensive incursion and supporting a cosition of a defense is ated on mobile versus End of Summary Military Thought was a distributed down to the etedly ceased publication at

TOP SECRET

	TOP SECRET	
	Page 4 of	7 Pag
	Some Questions of Modern Defense	
	by Colonel A. Oleynik	
	colonel A. Oleynik	
7	n hig ambiglat gas 1 w	
TISCIC	n his article* General-Mayor V. Petrenko correctly sed one of the most important trends in the developm	
TGT GIIS	e: the constantiv increasing decisiveness of its ac	
are de	Cledsing time limits for achieving them towards to	1
the go	l's assertion that it is now possible to set for def al of disrupting an enemy offensive which is in prep	ense
or has	already begun, needs refining.	arat.
I	n our opinion, this goal cannot be achieved in every	
rerens	ive operation and under all conditions of conducting	
me de	fending troops will be capable of disrupting an enemy ive in preparation only when a sufficient number of	
Agabott	s are available and when these weapons are employed :	4
asseu	manner. But when troops are forced to go over to the	L _
rerenz	e, as a rule with limited forces at their disposal, tility will not exist.	this
T roal ה	herefore, for example, in the initial period of a war f an army defensive operation will be to prevent an e	r the
ancur 5	ion and to support the deployment and transition to t	enemy
ffens	ive of the main forces of the front.	-116
T)	ne goal of defense by combined-arms large units, as a	a rul
	e to repulse an offensive by superior enemy forces by sing considerable losses on him and holding occupied	
OSTUT	ons, and also to create favorable conditions for the	troc
o go	over to a decisive offensive.	CIOO
•		
	502	X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

TOP/SECRET

* Collection of Articles of the Journal "Military Thought", No. 6 (61), 1961.

Declassified in Part -	Sanitized Copy Approved for	Release 2012/09/25	: CIA-RDP10-00105R000)201910001-3



Page 5 of 7 Pages

In examining the question of increasing the depth and aggressiveness of modern defense, the author, in our opinion, does not entirely correctly assess the nature of defensive actions by troops in the last war. We cannot agree with his assertion that, previously, countermeasures against attacking groupings consisted only of the passive repelling of attacks in order to stabilize the situation. The experience of the Great Patriotic War, specifically, attests to the great aggressiveness of defensive actions by our troops even under very complex conditions. In addition to firmly holding occupied positions in the defense -- which supported its stability and aggressiveness -- decisive counterattacks and counterstrikes and the extensive maneuvering of forces, means and fire frequently were carried out.

Counterattacks by troops in the Battle of Moscow (December 1941), on the approaches to Leningrad (1941), in the defense of Kursk and on the Kiev bridgehead (1943) can serve as examples. In the autumn of 1942 troops of the North Caucasian and Transcaucasian fronts, with a stubborn defense and aggressive actions, routed the enemy assault groupings in the areas of Ordzhonikidze, Groznyy, and Tuapse.

In modern defense the role of mobility has, unquestionably, grown sharply. However, in our opinion, it is still premature to attach decisive importance to it, and to belittle the role of positional defense and transform it into a means of supporting mobility, as the author does. Although positional forms of combat actions have ceased to be the sole predominant ones in a defense, they are no less important than mobility. This applies especially to defense at the tactical level where, in particular, the firm holding of occupied positions by making use of advantageous features of the terrain and its engineer preparation retains its importance. Therefore, in our opinion, modern defense can be properly defined as positional-mobile, closely combining the staunch holding of individual important areas with wide-scale maneuvering of fire and troops.

The author's attempt to show that the nature of combat actions in a defense during a future war will be completely different was not successful, in our opinion. For example, it says in the article that divisions will not be located along one line with varying combat front axes, but that the single



Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/25 : CIA-RDP10-00105R0002019100	01-0
TOP SECRET	

Page 6 of 7 Pages

continuous army defensive zone, having lost its former symmetry, will have supposedly already been broken down into individual defensive areas and centers. This is the basis for the conclusion as to the discontinuous-strongpoint system of modern defense.

It would seem that we could agree with this. However, such assertions are entirely unsound, in that the "new" defensive disposition will be dependent on time alone. When the front is stabilized for a comparatively long time, the author says, individual defensive areas can be gradually joined together in the end and, in certain sectors of the front, transformed into a single (division or army) continuous defensive zone. The author emphasizes that, naturally, time is needed to do this.

So, what is new here? Even during the Great Patriotic War, the configuration of the defensive line varied and there was not necessarily a single front axis for all large units and even units. This statement is borne out by the defense of Tula in 1941, or on the Kursk Bulge in 1943, where the fronts of some large units faced north, others west, and still others, south.

Previously, defense at first was always discontinuous with strong points, for example: the defense of the 51st Army in 1942, when enemy attempts to break through to our troops, who were surrounded in the area of Stalingrad, were repulsed; the defense of the 2nd Guards Army in 1944 in Shaulyai; and in many other cases. When time was available, the defense was gradually developed and improved; it acquired an increasingly continuous structure and then, when forces and means were properly distributed along the front, was converted into a continuous defensive zone at the division and army levels.

Here, obviously, we must seek another solution to the problem of the disposition of a defense. We feel that strong points will be characteristic of defense regardless of the time available to organize it. The absence of continuous trenches, positions and zones, and the sharp increase in combat capabilities and mobility of troops almost completely negate "classical" defense in its former sense, and increasingly attach a defensive-offensive nature to it with a gradual erasing of the distinctions between troop disposition in defense and offense. Under these conditions, the presence of sizeable gaps between

DP SECRET 50X1-HUM-

To	OP SECRET	
	Page 7 of 7 Pa 50X1-F	ı ae HUM
tactical and operational level	ls, as well as the role of areas ed in combination with defensive	
weapons available, convention	act that when a defensive operation be a limited quantity of nuclear al means of combat aviation, rms will find wide employment. burden of repulsing an enemy means.	•
	50x1-HUM	
	5011	
	50X1-HUM	

50X1-HUM

